

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/655,140	Applicant(s) YAMAMOTO, YUKO	
	Examiner Lamson D Nguyen	Art Unit 2861	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Lamson D Nguyen. (3) ____.
- (2) Peter Martine. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4.


Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: claims 1-3 and 2-4 are two different inventions, as a restriction requirement was made by ex. Claims 1 and 3 are cancelled to put case in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


LAMSON NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
09/16/04

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required